

CONTENTS:

Cover letter,

Oonagh Hopkins, doing business as manager of Corporate services on behalf of the mayor and the Thames Coromandel District council and professional staff.

Exhibit. 1. Affidavit dated 26.5.26

Pg. 1-7

Exhibit. 2. Notice to Cure. 22.6.26

Pg. 2-9

Exhibit. 3. DEFAULT NOTICE

Dated. 13.7.26

Pg. 1-3

Notice to Cure

Due to no response in regards to any rebuttal of any points within the Affidavit Statement of Facts or The Remedy received by TCDC at the Mackey Street office in Thames and via email

Further emailed to each responsible acting councillor and agents of TCDC

Take Note:

Due to the seriousness of the Activities, Actions, Policies that Do Not Comply with what they are subject to, we are giving every independent and responsible Man and Woman doing business as these organisations another five calendar days to rebut with supporting evidence point by point anything they do not agree with

Signed under the Penalty of Perjury

Failing this the Default Notice will be filed

This will establish Truth and Judgement remedying all disputes

Remedy shall prevail

Every Man and Woman of any description will be able to enact what is agreed upon.

Affidavit Statement of Facts

Response to Thames-Coromandel District Council (TCDC) email

Notice to one, is notice to all, heirs and successors

I, living man :dianne: of the house jeffries, unemployable, of no fixed abode, affirm the contents within this affidavit is true and correct to the best of my knowledge as follows;

1. In response to your email Thursday 21st May 2026 from corporate service on behalf of the Mayor.
2. Issues you have raised in your response to correspondence received 18 May 2026 –
 - a) Councillors and Chief Executive may be acting unlawfully
 - b) Engaging in misconduct
 - c) Exposing themselves criminal liability
 - d) These claims have no factual or legal basis
3. **In response, please note in this preamble**
 - 3.1 Coercion and deception is criminal in the civil jurisdiction – this is unlawful. Based on factual evidence as follows:
 - a) The identity of 'person' is that of an artificial, fictitious, imaginary creature pursuant to the interpretation in NZ legislation Act 2019 Sec 13 – very specific, easy to comprehend, *'person includes a Corporate Sole, a Body Corporation, and an Unincorporated Body'*.
 - b) The coercion and deception in regards to the identity of 'person' named in the information rating database is misleading, imposing the identity of 'person' upon living man is fraudulent, engaging in misconduct, exposing the acting mayor, acting councillors, acting chief executive, acting professional staff and qualified legal team and the Thames-Coromandel District Council Corporation into actions of dishonour done in bad faith through their recklessness, lack of due diligence and duty of care, clearly being incompetent.
 - c) The identity 'person', being the debtor in perpetuity, imposed upon living man is intent using prohibited actions of debt bondage, for pecuniary advantage and reward.
 - d) To correct the records within any database, that every acting mayor, acting councillors, acting chief executive, acting professional staff and qualified legal team and all Local Government Corporate Bodies have an obligation to correct all records containing misleading information



- e) For and on the record, living man is alive, living man has dominion, living man has no date of birth, living man is not 'person' of any description, every artificial or corporate entity is forbidden to use any trickery or stratagem to administer, claim authority over or legislate of any description imposed upon living man; therefore living man is not a debtor to any corporation sole, incorporated or unincorporated body.
- f) Living man is not the 'person' named on the information rating database.
- g) This is evidence the rating information database is a fraud.
- h) This is evidence the Local Government (rating) Act 2002 is a statute that is being used as an instrument to defraud living man.

4. ***"Please accept this email as acknowledgement of receipt of your correspondence titled 'important information: Councillors Obligations-Legal and Lawful.'"***

Our Response:

- 4.1 Thank you for your receipt and acknowledgement of our email content dated 18th May 2026 for our observation and consideration as follows, on a factual and legal basis.

5. ***"This response is provided on behalf of the Mayor and Thames-Coromandel District Council."***

Our response:

- 5.1 We thank Oonagh Hopkins, acting Group Manager Corporate Services and team, for making the Mayor and Thames-Coromandel District Council (TCDC) fully responsible for the contents and intent within the response dated Thursday 21st May, 2026 at 09:01; verified "yours sincerely" on behalf of the corporate services, Thames-Coromandel District Council, that have taken absolute responsibility 'supported by professional staff and legal advice'.

6. ***"Council rejects the assertions made in your correspondence including suggestions that councillors or the Chief Executive may be acting unlawfully, engaging in misconduct or exposing themselves to criminal liability. These claims have no factual or legal basis."***

Our response:

- 6.1 The claims from council rejecting assertions, made in our correspondence dated 18th May 2026, is clearly made through lack of knowledge or intent, is to conspire to obstruct, prevent, pervert, to defeat justice, to protect organised crime, relying on ignorance to prevent exposing themselves to criminal liability; supported by the content of preamble - Paragraph 3 a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h).

D *AL*

7. *"Elected members are democratically elected, to make decisions in the interest of their communities and are supported by professional staff and qualified legal advice. All council decisions are made in accordance with the statutory framework governing local authorities in New Zealand, including (but not limited to) the Local Government Act 2002, Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 and other relevant legislation."*

Our response:

- 7.1 This is evidence that the statutory framework governing local authorities in NZ including (but not limited to) the NZ Local Government Act 2002, the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 and other relevant legislation, is subject to other enactments, general law, principles and rules binding all legislation, statutes, acts, policies of any corporate or unincorporated body and the basic principles and rules of contract, and every competent living man or ignorant man of any description - supported by the content within the preamble - Paragraph 3 a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h).
- 7.2 This is further evidence due to lack of knowledge or intent, for those responsible as listed below, and their heirs and successors, to act unlawfully, to mislead, engaging in misconduct and prohibited fraudulent, repugnant actions have exposed Aileen Lawrie, acting as Chief Executive; Peter Leonard Bridgwater, acting Chief Financial Officer; Brian Taylor, acting Group Manager; Jeanette Wikaira acting Group Manager; Lisa Taiapa, acting People and Capability Manager; Oonagh Hopkins, acting Group Manager Corporate Services; Corinne Hamlin, acting staff; Jackie Hancock, acting staff; Peter Revell, acting Mayor; John Grant acting Deputy Mayor; all acting elected councillors; Michael Barlow – Maori ward; Robert Ashman; Fleming Rasmussen; Tony Brljevic; John Freer; Alison Smith; Greg Hampton; Martin Rodley; Robyn Sinclair et.al to fraudulent unlawful misconduct leading to criminal liability.
- 7.3 'Fraud vitiates everything upon which it touches', has no respect for beliefs of special status and power, or the use of a statute as a trick and stratagem of any description to defraud, will not be tolerated.

8. *"Your correspondence relies on interpretations of legal principles that are incorrect and not recognised under New Zealand law. In particular, references to discussions between "natural persons" and "statutory persons", the characterisation of council as a "corporate service provider" operating by contract, and suggestions that statutory obligations depend on individual consent are commonly associated with pseudo-legal or "sovereign citizen" style arguments. These arguments have been consistently rejected by New Zealand courts."*

Our response:

- 8.1 The corporate services group giving qualified legal advice, supported by professional staff, through lack of knowledge or intent to deceive for pecuniary advantage and reward to obstruct, prevent, pervert, to defeat justice have conspired to identify living man as a natural person, this action is unlawful and engaging in misconduct exposing themselves to criminal liability on the grounds of factual and legal basis, the identity of natural persons and statutory persons are one and the same imaginary creatures subject to the NZ legislation Act 2019, interpretations Sections 13 and 16 as follows

D J

Section 13

Person – includes (very specific)

A Corporation sole,

A Body corporate,

And an Unincorporated body

Section 16

Includes natural person, people, someone, he/she if in reference to person, are all fictitious entities, imaginary creatures, when imposed upon living man of any description, is evidence of acting unlawfully, engaging in misconduct, enacted recklessly and now have exposed themselves to criminal liability.

- 8.2 The corporate services group identifying living man of any description, as described in the statement “associated pseudo-legal or “sovereign citizen” style arguments”, is misleading, engaging in misconduct on the grounds of interpretation of sovereign citizen, meaning member of a body politic, the sovereigns of the house of representatives and the governor general of a specific corporation and in these matters the New Zealand Crown identified as ‘person’; reference to content of preamble - Paragraph 3 a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h).
- 8.3 We are removing dispute of the corporate services allegations of the interpretations and legal principles within the correspondence are incorrect and not recognised under NZ Law as evidence provided above.
- 8.4 This is the evidence the author of the reply, dated Thursday 21st of May 2026, as a professional, giving qualified legal advice is liable for the content, and its intent in its entirety, within the response that has clearly had intent to mislead, to deceive for pecuniary advantage and reward with intent to cause loss by deception or through lack of knowledge being incompetent to be in office. This is loss of living man’s identity and status.
- 8.5 “These arguments have been consistently rejected by NZ courts” is evidence of an organised crime unit using false identities and, contents to defraud the presenter and receiver, this wavers the authors claim of right.
9. *“For example, in James v district court Whanganui [2023] NZCA 181, the Court of Appeal confirmed that such arguments “are properly characterised as ‘Sovereign Citizen’ type arguments” and ‘cannot succeed’.”*

Our response:

- 9.1 This is an action of misleading information with intent to coerce and deceive the receiver and the reader using false identity and status of person, people, citizen, relying on lack of knowledge and ignorance of the claimant, the judicial officers and living man; reference to content of preamble - Paragraph 3 a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h).



10. ***"The mayor and council are satisfied that the council continues to act lawfully and within its statutory mandate. Allegations of criminal conduct or breaches of the Crimes Act 1961 or other statutes are entirely unsupported."***

Our response:

- 10.1 This statement has been proven with evidence of claim within this Affidavit Statement of Facts in reply to the contents within the corporate services response Thursday 21st May 2026, to be misleading and in error, reference to content of preamble - Paragraph 3 a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h), supported by the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 version as at 27 November 2025 Public Act No 43, subsection 116 Conspiring to Defeat Justice, subsection 240 Obtaining by Deception or Causing Loss by Deception, subsection 242 False Statements by the Promoter.

11. ***"The questions posed in your correspondence do not create any legal obligation on council to respond further, nor do they alter Council's statutory responsibilities or authority. Accordingly, no further correspondence on this matter will be entered into."***

Our response:

- 11.1 The Mayor, Councillors, Corporate Services Group giving qualified legal advice, Chief Executive, and Contractors, have an obligation to identify serious misconduct, fraudulent content and prohibited actions leading to criminal activities that are causing harm and loss within the social and economic environment and cultural well being of the entire community nationally and internationally.

- 11.2 To refuse to uphold these obligations using reckless actions, wilful negligence or intent to obstruct, prevent, pervert to defeat justice, using coercion and deception, causing loss by deception, making false statements by the author; using a statute as an instrument to defraud living man, misleading their fellow living man/clients into self incrimination causing harm and loss to their fellow living man, using opinions and beliefs with no factual basis.

- 11.3 These actions are forbidden. And are forbidden to continue being enacted.

12. ***"If you are seeking official information held by council you may make a request under Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987."***

Our response:

- 12.1 Thank you for your offer. We decline .

- 12.2 Due to your lack of integrity and knowledge, tricks and stratagems, beliefs and opinions, evidence of serious misconduct and criminal liability; you are required to rebut our findings that are lawful and have a factual basis following the basic principles and rules of contract that shall prevail and that all parties are bound by.



13. "You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the ombudsman."

Our response:

13.1 Thank you for your offer. We decline.

13.2 An un rebutted affidavit will establish truth and judgement via acquiescence through silence, agreed by all parties, removing dispute.

14. "Yours sincerely"

14.1 To sign 'Yours sincerely' is legally classified as fraud in the inducement of fraudulent misrepresentation leading to criminal liability of the entire acting corporate services group and their group manager; reference to content of preamble - Paragraph 3 a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h).

15. "On behalf of Corporate Services Thames-Coromandel District Council"

15.1 This is evidence Oonagh Hopkins Manager of Corporate Services and his fellow living man within corporate services have taken full liability for the content and its intent in its entirety within the contents of their response dated Thursday 21 May 2026, at 09:01.

16 The Remedy with Conditional Acceptance

16 a) We accept the contents within the reply from the corporate services group (TCDC) dated Thursday 21st May 2026 upon a rebuttal of the contents within this Affidavit Statement of Facts with Remedy, point by point with evidence of claims, signed under the penalty of perjury, within twenty-one (21) calendar days of receipt; postal rule shall apply (physical or email through electronic device is sufficient).

16 b) Failing to respond within the 21 day time frame, a Notice to Cure will be issued giving a further five (5) days to rebut with evidence of claim signed under penalty of perjury.

16 c) Failing to receive any response to the Notice of Cure will result in acceptance via silent acquiescence and the remedy shall prevail as follows with no dispute.

Remedy of correcting errors and applying action by agreement of all

Evidence is clarified that

- a) living man is not person
- b) living man is not the identity of persons name on the Rating information database
- c) The rating unit is now known to be fraudulent and shall be removed from all corporate records
- d) The identity of person is clarified as a fictitious entity, imaginary creature is a fraudulent instrument used to defraud living man
- e) Person/date of Birth shall be removed from all presentations of every corporate entity or unincorporated entity
- f) All prohibited actions of servitude, serfdom, debt bondage of any description shall be stopped using whatever means believed to be reasonably necessary
- g) Ignorance of these false identities gives no claim of right

- h) Actions of coercion and deception using statutes as an instrument to defraud living man shall be prohibited immediately in perpetuity
- i) The basic principles and rules of contract shall prevail
- j) The Local Government Act, all related statutes, enactments, general law containing identified prohibited, fraudulent content, that is limited to imaginary creatures that are known as persons, people, natural persons, citizens and other artificial entities; shall be identified, corrected if capable of being corrected or be removed
- k) A fictitious entity or any representative of such entity can not own, have sovereignty over or have sovereignty over any living creature or living man or anything of substance that living man has dominion or sovereignty over nor can such a fictitious entity legislate upon what it cannot own or have dominion and sovereignty over
- l) Therefore, these entities have no authority or ability to legislate upon living man or earth
- m) All that has been removed from living man shall be reinstated to man
- n) The entire corporate intent shall be corrected
- o) All dominion shall be in the hands of living man only
- p) Every affected living man shall be informed of the wrongs imposed upon them and that they have dominion
- q) Any living man that wishes to have their dominion administered by another shall be administered in their best interest with full and specific disclosure, intent and consent
- r) All misleading fraudulent contents and actions shall be forbidden

Meetings in every area shall be held to reinstate living man's full dominion, locally nationally and internationally.

I, living man :dianne: of the house Jeffries, affirm the contents within this affidavit of Notice of Cure is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Date 22/6/26

Affirmed

: dianne: of the house Jeffries.
Dianne Jeffries



Affirmed 22 June 2026

Before me:
Peter R. King
Justice of the Peace

[Signature]

Peter R. King, JP
#98151
AUCKLAND
Justice of the Peace for New Zealand

Peter R King JP
33 Bankside Road Millwater
Silverdale Auckland 0932